



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/478,309	01/06/2000	SHARON M. GORDON	AUS990809US1	1520

7590 11/13/2003

DUKE W YEE
CARSTENS YEE & CAHOON LLP
P O BOX 802334
DALLAS, TX 75380

EXAMINER

KLIMACH, PAULA W

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2131

DATE MAILED: 11/13/2003

3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/478,309

Applicant(s)

GORDON ET AL.

Examiner

Paula W Klimach

Art Unit

2131

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 March 2000.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. **Claims 1-38** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Grantges, Jr. (6,324,648 B1).

3. *In reference to claims 1, 10, 17, 21, 30, 37, and 38* Grantges discloses a system comprising a cache (column 5 lines 65-67); a cookie management process, wherein the cookie management process generates a cookie in response to receiving a request to access a resource within the data processing system from a requestor (column 4 lines 36-40 and column 10 lines 6-13); sends the cookie to the requestor (column 10 lines 23-25), stores the cookie and an identification of the requestor in the cache (column 11 lines 13-30); responsive to being presented a received cookie from a source, compares the cookie and the identification of the requestor to the received cookie and the source (column 10 lines 55-67); and allows access to the resource in response to a match between the cookie and the identification of the requestor with the received cookie and the source (column 11 lines 41-43).

4. *In reference to claim 18*, wherein the requestor is a server. The web browser request goes through the DMZ server 34 (column 6 lines 3-5).

Art Unit: 2131

In reference to claims 4, 9, 15, 16, 19, 24, 29, 35, and 36 wherein the resource is a file and the first cookie identifies disk location of the file. The cookies disclosed by Grantges have a list of the applications available to the user (column 10 lines 15-17). Applications are stored in files therefore the resource that is requested is a file. The file location can be derived from the file name

5. *In reference to claims 7, 20, and 27*, wherein the identification of the requestor and the identification of the source are Internet protocol addresses. The use of an IP address is a well known method of identification on the internet.

6. *In reference to claims 2, 13, 22, and 33*, wherein access to the resource is allowed by accepting the second cookie (column 10 lines 59-67).

7. *In reference to claims 3 and 23*, wherein the system comprises: rejecting means, responsive to an absence of a match between the identification of the source and the second cookie and the stored identification and the stored cookie, for rejecting the second cookie (part 222 of Fig 8).

8. *In reference to claims 5, 14, 25, and 34*, wherein the source is a web server (part 28 Fig. 1).

9. *In reference to claims 6 and 26*, wherein the storing means for storing an identification of the source and the first cookie to form a stored identification and a stored cookie comprises: storing means for storing the identification of the source and the first cookie in a cache(column 5 lines 65-67).

10. *In reference to claims 8 and 28*, wherein the receiving means, sending means, storing means, comparing means, and allowing means are performed in a browser (Part 22 of Fig. 1).

Art Unit: 2131

11. *In reference to claims 11 and 31*, further comprising: storing means for storing the sent cookie and an identification of the source (column 11 line 56 to column 12 line 2).

12. *In reference to claims 12 and 32*, wherein the sent cookie and the identification of the source are stored in a cache (column 5 lines 65-67).

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Grantges, Jr.


6,324,648 B1

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paula W Klimach whose telephone number is (703) 305-8421. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon to Fri 7:15 a.m to 3:45 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (703) 305-9648. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4832.

PWK


AYAZ SHEIKH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100